

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/598,480	CHEON ET AL	
	Examiner ANTHONY J. ZIMMER	Art Unit 1793	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>before FAOM</u>		
(1) ANTHONY J. ZIMMER.	(3) _____		
(2) <u>Paul Cha</u> .	(4) _____		
Date of Interview: <u>24 February 2010</u>	Time: <u>11:20 AM</u>		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:	1-35		
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>The examiner indicated that the response the restriction was incomplete because it did not include an election from each group of species. The examiner requested a telephonic election in lieu sending out a non-responsive response notice which would delay prosecution. Applicant agreed and elected the species specified in the office action attached hereto.</i>			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			